

Mr. SPEAKER.—I have received communications from Sri S. Gopala Gowda and Sri K. H. Srinivasa regarding this matter of the bridges. I cannot possibly admit it as an Adjournment Motion, and therefore, I have not admitted. It is a proper matter that should be brought to the notice of the Government and full statement as to the steps the Government will take would be very helpful. So, I have admitted that as a Calling Attention, and I will include it at the earliest.

SRI VEERENDRA PATIL (Minister for Public Works).—If it has been admitted as a Call Attention Notice, we will furnish the reply within two or three days.

SRI H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—If at all if there is any matter which is worthy of coming within the ambit of that rule, it should be admitted. I feel it is one such and it may be admitted as an Adjournment Motion. By saying this, I am not casting any aspersion on the Chair. Whenever there is a matter of great importance which could be brought to the notice of the House and the country through this House, I would request you to consider and see that the Motion can be liberally allowed.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Matter of importance, matter of great importance matter of relative importance all these, depend as to how each member views it, because one matter may be of supreme importance so far as a particular locality is concerned; it will not minimise the importance. But, in the context of other work which has been posted, to put aside all other work and to post this on the Agenda, does not appeal to me. Sri Siddaveerappa should have attempted to persuade me before. This appears to be a sort of guidance for my future.

SRI K. H. PATIL (Gadag).—Under Section 178, I have given Notice of a Privilege Motion.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The member must always take it up immediately after the Question Hour.

SRI K. H. PATIL.—That is why I stood up immediately after the Question Hour. But, the Chair directed me to resume my seat. It is not necessary to waste our time here. It must go to the Privilege Committee automatically.

Mr. SPEAKER.—We will have it tomorrow. The member may kindly remind me after Question Hour tomorrow.

THIRD REPORT OF THE BUSINESS ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Mr. SPEAKER.—I present the Third Report of the Business Advisory Committee.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—I beg to move :

“That this House agrees with the Third Report of the Business Advisory Committee.”

The motion was adopted.

BUDGET ESTIMATES FOR 1967-68—DEMANDS FOR GRANTS,

Demands Nos. 4, 9 and 11.

SRI MOHAMMED ALI (Minister for Transport and Tourism).—I beg to move on the recommendation of the Governor :

“That a sum not exceeding Rs. 20,51,000 be granted to the Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the financial year for the period ending 31st day of March 1968, in respect of ‘Taxes on Vehicles’.”

Mr. SPEAKER.—On the recommendation of the Governor, Motion moved :

“That a sum not exceeding Rs. 20,51,000 be granted to the Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the financial year for the period ending 31st day of March 1968, in respect of ‘Taxes on Vehicles’.”

SRI S. R. KANTHI (Minister for Law).—I beg to move :

“On the recommendation of the Governor, That a sum not exceeding Rs 42,08,000 be granted to the Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the financial year for the period ending 31st day of March 1968, in respect of ‘Parliament, State/Union Territory Legislature’.”

I also move on the recommendation of the Governor :

“That a sum not exceeding Rs. 1,27,51,000 be granted to the Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the financial year for the period ending 31st day of March 1968, in respect of ‘Administration of Justice’.”

Mr. SPEAKER.—Motion moved on the recommendation of the Governor :

“That a sum not exceeding Rs. 42,08,000 be granted to the Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the financial year for the period ending 31st day of March 1968, in respect of ‘Parliament, State/Union Territory Legislature’.”

And :

“That, a sum not exceeding Rs. 1,27,51,000 be granted to the Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the financial year for the period ending 31st day of March 1968, in respect of ‘Administration of Justice’.”

ಶ್ರೀ ಹೆಚ್. ನಿಡ್ಡವೀರಪ್ಪ (ಹರಿಹರ).—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ಈಗ ಮೊದಲು ನ್ಯಾಯಾಂಗ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದೆರಡು ಮಾತುಗಳನ್ನು ಹೇಳಬೇಕೆಂದಿರುತ್ತೇನೆ. ನಮ್ಮ ರಾಜ್ಯದ ಸುದ್ದಿವಳ ಈ ರಾಜ್ಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಸುದ್ದಿವಳಿ ತಪಾಗಿ ನಿಷ್ಪಕ್ಷಪಾತದಿಂದ ವರ್ತಿಸುತ್ತಿರುವ ನ್ಯಾಯಾಂಗ ಪದ್ಧತಿಯನ್ನು ಪಡೆದಿಲ್ಲವೆ. ಅನೇಕ ಸಾರಿ ನಮಗಾದರೂ ಅನಿಸುತ್ತದೆ ಈ ದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ನ್ಯಾಯ ವಿನಾದರೂ ದೊರೆಯಬೇಕಾದರೆ